

Autonomous Renormalization of Φ^4 in Finite Geometry

U. Ritschel

Fachbereich Physik, Universität GH Essen, D-45117 Essen (F R Germany)

Abstract: The autonomous renormalization of the $O(N)$ -symmetric scalar theory is based on an infinite re-scaling of constant fields, whereas finite-momentum modes remain finite. The natural framework for a detailed analysis of this method is a system of finite size, where all non-constant modes can be integrated out perturbatively and the constant mode is treated by a saddle-point approximation in the thermodynamic limit. Our calculation provides a better understanding of the properties of the effective action and corroborates earlier findings concerning a heavy Higgs particle at about 2 TeV [4, 5].

Seven years ago Stevenson and Tarrach discovered the autonomous renormalization [1, 2] in the framework of the Gaussian variational approximation [3] for the ϕ^4 -theory in 3+1 dimensions. More recently, Consoli et al. [4] and Ibañez-Meier et al. [5] were able to derive predictions for the Higgs mass from the autonomously renormalized ϕ^4 -model under the natural assumption that the Higgs sector is massless in the symmetric phase.

The main ingredient in the autonomous renormalization (AR) are an infinite re-scaling of the constant mode of the field and an UV-flow of the bare coupling constant that cancels the leading logarithmic divergences. When applied to the effective potential in Gaussian [1], one-loop [4, 5], or more sophisticated variational approximations [6], the procedure gives always a finite answer. Problems occur if one attempts to calculate the full effective action with the AR. It turns out that infinities in the kinetic terms of the action are not removed by the AR [7].

Recently, Consoli and Stevenson [8] demonstrated that the solution to this apparent dilemma is a wavefunction renormalization that distinguishes between constant and non-constant or finite-momentum (FM) modes. Constant fields have to be re-scaled by an infinite factor, whereas FM fields remain finite. In this respect the procedure is quite different from conventional renormalization, where all modes are renormalized by a common Z-factor, but it does not violate any fundamental principles otherwise [8]. Further, it turns out that the interaction between FM modes is suppressed by powers of $1/\log \Lambda$ and all the non-trivial structure, especially the symmetry breaking form of the effective potential, is caused by the self-interaction of the constant modes and their coupling to the FM modes.

The aim of the present paper is a closer analysis of the AR of the effective action. The most natural environment to investigate a renormalization that distinguishes between modes is a system of finite size, where one deals with a discrete set of functions. It is well known from the theory of second-order phase transitions that in a finite geometry the constant mode has to be treated non-perturbatively in order to avoid spurious IR-divergences, while the FM fields may be integrated out perturbatively [10]. Thus, one may largely rely on techniques developed in statistical field theory, once one has derived an effective theory for the (renormalized) constant mode with the help of the AR.

In the following we are concentrating on a scalar field in a four dimensional euclidean space, with volume $\Omega = L^3/T$, which describes a system in a finite spatial volume L^3 at temperature T . To begin with, we consider the case $N = 1$. Concerning the perturbative evaluation of the FM modes the generalization to $N \neq 1$ is straightforward. Only the constant angular variables (Goldstone modes) need a separate treatment. This will be discussed in more detail below.

In terms of Fourier amplitudes the field is given by

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \sum_k \phi_k e^{i p \cdot x}, \quad (1)$$

where $p_0 = 2\pi k_0 T$, $p_i = 2\pi k_i/L$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $k_0, k_i \in Z$. For the zero mode we choose the notation $\phi_{k=0} \equiv \sqrt{\Omega}\phi_0$, such that $\phi_0 = 1/\Omega \int d^4x \phi(x)$. Then the generating functional reads (up to unimportant normalization factors)

$$Z(j) \sim \int d\phi_0 \Pi' d\phi_k \exp \left(-S(\phi_0, \phi_k) - \Omega j_0 \phi_0 - \sum' j_k \phi_{-k} \right) \quad (2)$$

where the prime denotes products and sums over all $k \in Z^d \setminus \{0\}$. The action in (2) is given by

$$S(\phi_0, \phi_k) = \Omega U_{\text{cl}}(\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum' \left[p^2 + \frac{g}{2} \phi_0^2 \right] \phi_k \phi_{-k} + \mathcal{O}(\phi_k^3). \quad (3)$$

with

$$p^2 = 4\pi^2 \left(k_0^2 T^2 + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{L^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

and with the classical potential

$$U_{\text{cl}}(\phi_0) = \frac{1}{2} t \phi_0^2 + \frac{g}{4!} \phi_0^4. \quad (5)$$

The prime modes can be integrated out in a one-loop-type procedure, i.e., taking into account only quadratic terms in ϕ_k . The result of the integration reads

$$Z[j] \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_0 \exp \left[-\Omega(s(\phi_0) + \mathcal{J}(\phi_0) - j_0 \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum' j_k G_k j_{-k} \right] \quad (6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}(\phi_0) = \frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum' \log \left[1 + \frac{g \phi_0^2}{2 p^2} \right] \quad (7)$$

and the propagator is given by

$$G_k = \frac{1}{p^2 + g \phi_0^2/2}. \quad (8)$$

Concerning the UV-behavior, the term $\mathcal{J}(\phi_0)$ is equivalent to what is usually called I_1 in the infinite volume procedure [3]; the finite extent of the system does not change the behavior at distances $d \ll L$.

In order to extract the UV-divergences from (7), it is sufficient to analyze the derivative of $\mathcal{J}$ - the ϕ_0 -independent infinities are not relevant for the generating functional -, which is given by

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv \frac{d\mathcal{J}}{d\phi_0} = \frac{g\phi_0}{2\Omega} \sum' \frac{1}{p^2 + g \phi_0^2/2} = \frac{g\phi_0}{2\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} ds \left[A^3 \left(\frac{4\pi^2 s}{L^2} \right) A(4\pi^2 s T^2) - 1 \right] e^{-sg \phi_0^2/2} \quad (9)$$

with

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 x}. \quad (10)$$

In the integral on the right hand side of (9), the UV-divergence of the original sum over momenta is reflected by a pole of the integrand at small s , where the function $A(x)$ behaves as $(\pi/x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By adding and subtracting terms, $\mathcal{I}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{g\phi_0}{2} (K_{\infty} + K_F), \quad (11)$$

where

$$K_{\infty} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2} e^{-sg \phi_0^2/2} \quad (12)$$

contains the UV-divergence and

$$K_F = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} ds \left[A^3 \left(\frac{4\pi^2 s}{L^2} \right) A(4\pi^2 s T^2) - 1 - \frac{\Omega}{16\pi^2 s^2} \right] e^{-sg \phi_0^2/2} \quad (13)$$

is finite. To determine the UV-flows of the bare parameters, it is favorable to regularize K_{∞} by a cutoff Λ^{-2} at the lower bound of the integral. Carrying out the integration gives

$$K_{\infty} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \Lambda^2 + \frac{g\phi_0^2}{2} \left[C - 1 + \log \left(\frac{g\phi_0^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\phi_0^4/\Lambda^2) \right\}, \quad (14)$$

where C stands for Euler's constant. Eventually, by integrating once with respect to ϕ_0 , one obtains

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\{ g \phi_0^2 \Lambda^2 + \frac{g^2 \phi_0^4}{4} \left[C - \frac{3}{2} + \log \left(\frac{g \phi_0^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right) \right] \right\} + \frac{g}{2} \int^{\phi_0} d\phi \phi K_F(\phi) + \mathcal{O}(\phi_0^6/\Lambda^2). \quad (15)$$

The most systematic way to obtain a finite generating functional is a renormalization-group formulation as first employed in this context by Consoli et al. [9]. In order to determine the UV-flows of the parameters of the system, it is sufficient to solve

$$\left(\Lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial g} - \frac{\eta}{2} \phi_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_0} - \eta_2 t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) [s(\phi_0; g, t) + \mathcal{J}(\phi_0; g)] = 0 \quad (16)$$

with Wilson functions

$$\beta = \Lambda \frac{d g}{d \Lambda}, \quad \eta = -2\Lambda \frac{d \log \phi_0}{d \Lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_2 = -\Lambda \frac{d \log t}{d \Lambda}. \quad (17)$$

One solution to (16) - the other is the conventional perturbative one [4] - is determined by the relations

$$\eta = \frac{\beta}{g}, \quad t(\eta + \eta_2) = \frac{g \Lambda^2}{16\pi^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = -\frac{3g^2}{16\pi^2}, \quad (18)$$

which, in turn, yield the explicit solutions

$$\begin{aligned} g(\Lambda) &= \frac{16\pi^2}{3 \log(\Lambda/K)}, \\ \phi_0^2(\Lambda) &= z_0 \Phi^2 \log(\Lambda/K), \\ t(\Lambda) &= -\frac{\Lambda^2}{6 \log(\Lambda/K)} + \frac{c}{\log(\Lambda/K)}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In the above formulae, Φ is the renormalized expectation value of the field. The parameters K , z_0 , and c have to be determined by normalization conditions. For instance one finds $c = 0$ when the system has massless excitations in the symmetric state. It is this case, which will be considered in the following.

Inserting (19) in the exponent of (6) we find for $\sigma(\Phi) \equiv U_{\text{cl}}(\phi_0) + \mathcal{J}(\phi_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Phi) &= \frac{\pi^2}{9} z_0^2 \Phi^4 \log(\Phi^2/\mu^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\Omega} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} \left[A^3 \left(\frac{4\pi^2 s}{L^2} \right) A(4\pi^2 s T^2) - 1 - \frac{\Omega}{16\pi^2 s^2} \right] \left(1 - e^{-8\pi^2 s z_0 \Phi^2/3} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where μ is a new mass parameter, essentially K multiplied by numerical constants. The function $\sigma(\Phi)$ may be regarded as a precursor of the effective potential and, indeed, in certain cases $\sigma(\Phi)$ turns out to be identical with the effective potential. In general, however, Φ is an integration variable and not the expectation value of the field. In order to obtain the generating functional, we have to calculate the integral

$$Z[j] \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Phi \exp \left(-\Omega (\sigma(\Phi) - j\Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \sum' j_k G_k j_{-k} \right), \quad (21)$$

where the zero mode of the source term has been appropriately re-scaled, and the propagator G_k is given by (8) with renormalized squared mass

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g}{2} \phi_0^2 = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} z_0 \Phi^2. \quad (22)$$

As we are actually interested in the limit of large space volume of (21), we may consider $\sigma(\Phi)$ for $L \rightarrow \infty$, where it takes the form

$$\sigma(\Phi) = \frac{\pi^2}{9} z_0^2 \Phi^4 \log(\Phi^2/\mu^2) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} \left[A\left(\frac{1}{4sT^2}\right) - 1 \right] \left(1 - e^{-8\pi^2 s z_0 \Phi^2/3} \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/\Omega). \quad (23)$$

Neglecting the terms that vanish relatively for large volume, $\sigma(\Phi)$ is identical with the finite-temperature effective potential as obtained when the AR is applied in infinite volume from the start [12]. This means that $\sigma(\Phi)$ has a form typical for a first-order phase transition. It shows a single global minimum if the temperature is higher than some critical value T_c . For $T < T_c$, one finds two degenerate minima located symmetrically with respect to the origin. At the critical value there are three degenerate minima.

Further, as in Ref. [10], we use the saddle-point approximation for the Φ -integration in (21). The situation is particularly simple if the potential $\sigma(\Phi)$ is convex everywhere, which is the case when T is well above T_c . Only then there exists a unique saddle point for each value of j and the generating functionals can be calculated explicitly. For instance for the effective action we find

$$\Gamma[\bar{\phi}] = \frac{1}{2} \sum' \left(p^2 + \frac{8\pi^2}{3} z_0 \bar{\Phi}^2 \right) \bar{\phi}_k \bar{\phi}_{-k} + \Omega \sigma(\bar{\Phi}), \quad (24)$$

and the effective potential is given by

$$U_{\text{eff}}(\bar{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \Gamma[\bar{\phi} = \text{const.}] = \sigma(\bar{\Phi}), \quad (25)$$

where $\bar{\phi}$ is the expectation value of the field, and $\bar{\phi}_k$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ are the amplitudes of FM modes and renormalized constant modes, respectively. (24) is consistent with the result of [8], and the simple relation (25) holds wherever $\sigma(\Phi)$ is convex [11].

The situation becomes more complicated, however, when $\sigma(\Phi)$ has concave portions or even degenerate minima, leading to the well-known non-analytical behavior of effective action and effective potential in such circumstances. In case $\sigma(\Phi)$ has two minima at $\pm\Phi_m$ and one minimum at the origin with $\sigma(\Phi_m) \leq \sigma(0) = 0$, the “free energy” for small constant j and large volume is given by

$$W(j) = \log[Z(j)/Z(0)] = \log \left[\frac{\cosh(\Omega j \Phi_m) + \xi}{1 + \xi} \right] \quad (26)$$

with

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sigma''(\Phi_m)}{\sigma''(0)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\Omega \sigma(\Phi_m)}. \quad (27)$$

For the expectation of Φ we find

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{dW}{dj} \Big|_{j \rightarrow 0^\pm} = \frac{\Phi_m \sinh(\Omega j \Phi_m)}{\cosh(\Omega j \Phi_m) + \xi}. \quad (28)$$

This means that for any large but finite Ω the expectation value vanishes for $j \rightarrow 0^\pm$. However, in the limit $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$ and $j \rightarrow 0^\pm$ we either obtain $\langle \Phi \rangle = 0$ for $\Omega j \rightarrow 0$ (corresponding to a supercooled state) or $\langle \Phi \rangle = \pm\Phi_m$ for $\Omega j \rightarrow \pm\infty$, which is the symmetry-breaking solution we are actually interested in.

A reasonable definition of the mass of the single-particle excitation is provided by the second derivative of $\sigma(\bar{\Phi})$ in the limit $\bar{\Phi} \rightarrow \Phi_m$ from above. For the moment, we are interested in the mass for temperature $T = 0$. So we may neglect the contribution from the integral in (23). Implementing the normalization condition

$$\sigma''(\Phi_m) = (G_{k=0})^{-1} \Big|_{\Phi=\Phi_m} \quad (29)$$

we find $z_0 = 3$, which, in turn, yields

$$M^2 = 8\pi^2 \Phi_m^2 \quad (30)$$

for the mass of single-particle excitation, the Higgs mass.

Going from $N = 1$ to the general case $N \neq 1$, the FM modes are integrated as before. The UV-flows of the parameters acquire some N -dependence compared to (19), for instance

$$g(\Lambda) = \frac{48\pi^2 z_0}{(N+8) \log(\Lambda/K)} . \quad (31)$$

The generating functional then takes the form

$$Z[j] \sim \int d^N \Phi \exp \left(-\Omega (\sigma(\Phi^\alpha) - j^\alpha \Phi^\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \sum' j_k^\alpha G_k^{\alpha\beta} j_{-k}^\beta \right) , \quad (32)$$

where the propagator is given by

$$G_k^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{p^2 + z_N \Phi^2} \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2z_N \Phi^\alpha \Phi^\beta}{p^2 + 3z_N \Phi^2} \right) \quad (33)$$

with $\Phi^2 = \Phi^\alpha \Phi^\alpha$ and $z_N = 8\pi^2 z_0 / (N+8)$, and the analogue to (23) takes the $O(N)$ -symmetric form

$$\sigma(\Phi^\alpha) = \frac{\pi^2 z_0^2}{N+8} (\Phi^2)^2 \log(\Phi^2/\mu^2) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} \left[A \left(\frac{1}{4sT^2} \right) - 1 \right] (1 - e^{-3z_N s \Phi^2}) . \quad (34)$$

The reason for new effects compared to $N = 1$ are the angular variables. If one is interested in the physics of the Goldstone bosons, one has to express the N euclidean components of the field by spherical coordinates and expand loop integrals in terms of p^2/M^2 , i.e., for momenta small compared to the mass of the radial mode [13]. The result is the nonlinear σ -model, which describes the physics of the Goldstone bosons in the low momentum regime. Here, on the other hand, we are interested mainly in the radial excitation. In order to derive (32), we have already integrated out perturbatively all modes with $p \neq 0$. When the constant modes, Φ^α , are expressed in terms of N -dimensional spherical coordinates, $\Phi^\alpha = \rho \Phi^\alpha$, the angular integrals in (32) can be carried out generating an effective theory for the constant radial field. On account of the angular dependence of the sources, however, this cannot be done out in full generality.

In order to obtain the generating functional for the special case of constant source and expectation value of ρ , the FM sources j_k^α are set to zero. Without loss of generality, we let j^α point in the Φ^1 -direction: $j^\alpha = (j, 0, \dots, 0)$. Carrying out the angular integration leads to

$$Z(j) \sim \int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^{N-1} (\Omega \rho j)^{1-N/2} I_{N/2-1}(\Omega \rho j) e^{-\Omega \sigma(\rho)} , \quad (35)$$

where $I_k(x)$ denotes a Bessel function of an imaginary argument. After the saddle-point approximation for the radial integration (for $T < T_c$ and small j) we obtain as the analogue to (26):

$$W(j) = \log \left[\chi^{1-N/2} I_{N/2-1}(\chi) \right] \quad \text{with} \quad \chi = \Omega j \rho_m , \quad (36)$$

where ρ_m denotes the minimum of the potential $\sigma(\rho)$. The expectation value of ρ is given by

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{dW}{dj} \Big|_{j \rightarrow 0} = \rho_m \left(\frac{I_{N/2-2}(\chi) + I_{N/2}(\chi)}{2 I_{N/2-1}(\chi)} - \frac{N-2}{2\chi} \right) . \quad (37)$$

The function that multiplies ρ_m in the above formula behaves qualitatively like the one occurring in (28), i.e., it tends to zero for $\chi \rightarrow 0$ and it approaches one for $\chi \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the discussion of the limits of Ωj carries over from (28) with Φ_m replaced by ρ_m , and this is fully consistent with the intuitive picture that the symmetry breaking is caused by some infinitesimal external “magnetic field”, which determines the direction of the expectation value of Φ^α .

The next step is to calculate the propagator of the FM modes from (32). For this purpose we may expand to second order in j_k^α . The angular integration yields

$$G_k^{11} = \frac{1}{p^2 + z_N \rho_m^2} \left(1 - f_R(\chi) \frac{2 z_N \rho_m^2}{p^2 + 3 z_N \rho_m^2} \right) \quad (38)$$

for the radial propagator, where, as above, the radial direction is the one selected by j^α , and

$$G_k^{ij} = \frac{\delta^{ij}}{p^2 + z_N \rho_m^2} \left(1 - f_T(\chi) \frac{2 z_N \rho_m^2}{p^2 + 3 z_N \rho_m^2} \right) \quad i, j = 2, \dots, N \quad (39)$$

for the transversal propagator with the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_R(\chi) &= \left(\chi^{1-N/2} I_{N/2-1}(\chi) \right)^{-1} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(x^{1-N/2} I_{N/2-1}(x) \right) \Big|_{x=\chi} \\ f_T(\chi) &= \frac{N I_{N/2}(\chi)}{(N-1) \chi I_{N/2-1}(\chi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

In the symmetry-breaking limit $\chi \rightarrow \infty$, one finds $f_R \rightarrow 1$ and $f_T \rightarrow 0$ leading to free propagators with mass $M_R^2 = 3 z_N \rho_m^2$ for the radial field and $M_T^2 = z_N \rho_m^2$ for the $N - 1$ transversal fields, respectively. By imposing the normalization condition analogously to (29) on the radial propagator, we find again $z_0 = 3$. The form of the propagators (38) and (39), as well as the value of z_0 are consistent with Ref. [8]. The result for the Higgs mass is $M_R^2 = 72\pi^2 \rho_m^2 / (N + 8)$, which gives $M_R = 1.89$ TeV for $N = 4$ and $\rho_m = 0.246$ TeV.

In conclusion, we have analyzed the AR scheme in a finite geometry. While in [8] the zero mode has been regarded as identical with its expectation value, the major contribution of our work is a fully quantum-mechanical treatment of all fields. The FM modes are integrated out perturbatively, and the constant mode is treated non-perturbatively. For $N = 1$ our approach provides a complete description of the effective action, including the non-analytic behavior of the effective potential. For general N , the transversal excitations (the would-be Goldstone bosons) remain massive in our calculation. Thus, the reason for this failure has to be sought in the treatment of the FM modes.

Acknowledgement: I should like to thank P. M. Stevenson for helpful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] P. M. Stevenson and R. Tarrach, Phys. Lett. **B 274**, 404 (1992); see also P. M. Stevenson, Z. Phys. **C 35**, 467 (1987); U. Ritschel, I. Stancu, and P. M. Stevenson, Z. Phys. **C 54**, 627 (1992).
- [2] P. M. Stevenson, B. Allès, and R. Tarrach, Phys. Rev. **D 35**, 2407 (1987).
- [3] P. M. Stevenson, Z. Phys. **C 24**, 87 (1984); Phys.Rev. **D 30**, 1712 (1984); Phys.Rev. **D 32**, 1389 (1985).

- [4] M. Consoli, Phys. Lett. **B 305**, 93 (1993).
- [5] R. Ibáñez-Meier and P. M. Stevenson, Phys. Lett. **B 297**, 144 (1992); R. Ibáñez-Meier, I. Stancu, and P. M. Stevenson, *Gaussian Effective Potential for $U(1)$ Higgs Model*, Rice University preprint DOE/ER/05096-51.
- [6] I. Stancu and P. M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **D 42**, 2710 (1990); U. Ritschel, *Non-Gaussian Corrections to Higgs Mass in Autonomous $\lambda\phi_{3+1}^4$* , University of Essen preprint.
- [7] B. Rosenstein and A. Kovner, Phys.Rev. **D 40**, 504 (1989); R. Ibáñez-Meier, Phys. Lett. **B 295**, 89 (1992).
- [8] M. Consoli and P. M. Stevenson, *Resolution of the $\lambda\phi^4$ puzzle and a 2 TeV Higgs boson*, Preprint DE-FG05-92ER40717-5.
- [9] P. Castorina and M. Consoli, Phys. Lett. **B 235**, 302 (1990); V. Branchina, P. Castorina, M. Consoli, and D. Zappalà, Phys.Rev. **D 42**, 3587 (1990); Phys. Lett. **B 274**, 404 (1992).
- [10] E. Brézin, J. Phys. (France) **43**, 15 (1982); E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. **B 257**, 867 (1985); see also Ref. [11].
- [11] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, Oxford University Press (Oxford, 1989).
- [12] G. A. Hajj and P. M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **D 37**, 413 (1988).
- [13] H. W. Diehl, Phys. Lett. **75A**, 375 (1980).